

Give them a desire, Sir, to seek Your divine guidance and direction in all their deliberations. Reach deep into their innermost emotion and intellect to bring them together in unity and act as one. Enable them to set aside personal desires to see Your divine will and way for this great Nation.

May they, and we, always be mindful, the future of our Nation, our lives, our very being rests in Thy eternal hands.

Bring them together in a spirit of humility and love for Thee and these United States of America.

We pray these petitions in Jesus' name. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Pence led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

SUPPORT BORN-ALIVE INFANTS PROTECTION ACT

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. Mr. Speaker, it is said that the Almighty sets before us blessings and curses, life and death, and that we are to choose life so that we and our children might live.

This week on this floor, in this Chamber, in this country, our Congress will have the opportunity to say "yes" to life by supporting the Born-Alive Infants Protection Act.

In this act, we essentially firmly state that a child that is extracted from the womb and is alive is a person under the law entitled to all of the due process protections of our Constitution. Many may believe that this legislation is unnecessarily divisive and not required. But according to testimony before the Subcommittee on the Constitution, two nurses testified, Mrs. Stanek and Mrs. Baker from the Christ Hospital in Illinois, that in their hospital there are abortion practices that include inducing labor and allowing a born-alive child simply to die.

It is important this week on this occasion that Congress and America choose life. Let us today support the Born-Alive Infants Protection Act and the transcendent value of human life that is encompassed therein.

SAVE SOCIAL SECURITY FIRST

(Mr. WYNN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon to lament the late great lockbox. You remember the lockbox. That was our promise not to spend Social Security trust funds on anything other than preserving the solvency of Social Security. Well, this administration's budget breaks into the lockbox. It obliterates the lockbox.

The Congressional Budget Office reports that the Republican budget spends \$179 billion from the Social Security trust fund on other programs. You will hear quickly that this is because of the war. That is not true. The deficit that is forcing us to break into the Social Security trust fund, 43 percent of it is due to tax cuts, tax cuts for the very wealthy, tax cuts for corporations like Enron who stand to gain \$254 million in tax breaks. I think that is wrong.

When we had a surplus a year ago and when we did not have a war, tax cuts made sense. But now today, facing a war, facing a deficit, we cannot afford these tax cuts. It breaks a promise that we made to the working families of America, and I believe it is just plain wrong.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote is objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record votes on postponed questions will be taken after debate has concluded on all motions to suspend the rules but not before 6:30 p.m. today.

BORN-ALIVE INFANTS PROTECTION ACT OF 2001

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2175) to protect infants who are born alive.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2175

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Born-Alive Infants Protection Act of 2001".

SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF BORN-ALIVE INFANT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 1, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"§ 8. 'Person', 'human being', 'child', and 'individual' as including born-alive infant

"(a) In determining the meaning of any Act of Congress, or of any ruling, regulation, or interpretation of the various administrative bureaus and agencies of the United States, the words 'person', 'human being',

'child', and 'individual', shall include every infant member of the species homo sapiens who is born alive at any stage of development.

"(b) As used in this section, the term 'born alive', with respect to a member of the species homo sapiens, means the complete expulsion or extraction from his or her mother of that member, at any stage of development, who after such expulsion or extraction breathes or has a beating heart, pulsation of the umbilical cord, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, regardless of whether the umbilical cord has been cut, and regardless of whether the expulsion or extraction occurs as a result of natural or induced labor, cesarean section, or induced abortion.

"(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to affirm, deny, expand, or contract any legal status or legal right applicable to any member of the species homo sapiens at any point prior to being 'born alive' as defined in this section."

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1 of title 1, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"8. 'Person', 'human being', 'child', and 'individual' as including born-alive infant."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 2175, the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill, the Born-Alive Infants Protection Act, is to protect all infants who are born alive by recognizing them as a person, human being, child or individual for purposes of Federal law. This recognition would take effect upon the live birth of an infant, regardless of whether or not his or her development is sufficient to permit long-term survival and regardless of whether or not he or she survived an abortion.

It has long been an accepted legal principle that infants who are born alive are persons and thus entitled to the protections of the law. Many States have statutes that explicitly enshrine this principle as a matter of State law and some Federal courts have recognized the principle in interpreting Federal criminal laws. However, recent changes in the legal and cultural landscape appear to have brought this well-settled principle into question.

In its July 2000 ruling in *Stenberg v. Carhart*, the United States Supreme Court struck down a Nebraska law banning partial-birth abortion. In doing